

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

c. Forms without preformative mu: Bêl-mukin-aplu.

In the "List of Signs" I missed among the many "variants" to the signs already given also those of "ardu" 6:6; "tad" 97:11; "kar" 4:16.17; "shak" 6 L. E.; "dan, rib" 9:11; 36:7. One sign is not registered at all, viz., 4:7: BI+ISH+SHA-mesh = SHIM+inserted sha, Br. 5205/6. Also all syllabic values and compositions of quite a good many signs are not given.

While transcribing the texts I accidentally noticed still the following errata in addition to those already corrected by Clay:

No. 2, 4 read: Cf. 3,4 instead of 2,4. Sha on the margin of 7,1 has been misplaced. 9,11 and 36,7, third sign intended for dan and rib looks like bîtu. 9,20, third sign looks like nu, instead of u. 19,1.6 we have 3 PI, but 1. 11 gives only I PI. In 22,4: eqli-shu and l. 5 (gish)BAN-shu is the nu left out for shu = shunu refers back to Bibâ and Bêl-it-tan-nu, l. 2. Was it left out by the scribe? (Such singulars for plurals occur, however, oftener, e. g., 82,12, i-nam-din for intended i-nam-din-u'. Compare also the plural form iqbu-u for the intended singular iqbi: 9,5.) Did the scribe leave out also the sha in bît (gish) BAN-shu [sha] kishâd, 24,6? Comp. 26,9 et passim. 32,9 read sha ina alu for sha alu, comp. 46,10. 51,15: read 15 for 5. In 52,13 is the reference sign after chi =' omitted. 55,7 correct the marginal note to: Omit, mistake of scribe for a'; comp. transcription in introduction, p. 28. Is there no u between Bêl-kâtsir and Qunna in 59,14? Comp. 1. 9. In 76,10: ma. 80,11 read sha bît sharri sha for sha bît sha. Noteworthy is the expression SHE-BAR-a' 200 GUR SHE-BAR in 82,7 for the more common SHE-BAR-a' 200 GUR. 84,5 is sha probably left out after ardu. *92,1 is dan-nu omitted after karpatu, comp. 1. 9. 92,10 read $4(+)\frac{1}{2}$ (= 6 qa) PI for $4(+)\frac{1}{2}$, comp. l. 2. 103,4 read sha kishâd nâru for sha nâru. 107,1 read u ina alu for u alu; III,I is u after qa-lu broken away. Omit the marginal note to chi in 125,9.

Many other important facts might be enumerated here, facts important not only for grammar, as e. g., the use of ina for ana, 22:6; 39:9; 46:15; 97:5 (ina), II (ana); 40:8 (ina and ana together!); the writing a-di-i, 22:7; 5:6; 4I:10; 52:9; 57:8; 95:5; IOI:15; or the SHE-BAR ga-mir-tum, 123:3, showing that SHE-BAR is feminine; the (amêlu) na-ash-pir-tum-ia, 9:7; li-mi-tum-ti-shu, 9:20; tsi-e-nu-ia, 9:3; i-shal-tu, 39:9; 40:8; ka-a-ma, 52:3 (= kiam) i-bi-in-nam-na-an-shu, 53:8, etc., etc.; but also for lexicon: nash-kan-nu, 20:9; 57:6; 83:4,5; ri-shu-us-su, 46:16; (for râshu-ussu), sha-nim-ma, 45:II; 47:I3; 48:II; 49:I0; 125:I0; kin-na-tu, I30, I3I, I32 et passim, shi-pi-ish-tum 5:6 etc., etc.

May these notes convince Professor Clay of the fact that his book has been greatly appreciated, but may they also be an indication of how he may still improve upon his investigations—for he has still to learn and cannot as yet be termed a "master" in Assyriology.

Hugo Radau.

Persia Past and Present. A Book of Travel and Research. By A. V. Williams Jackson. New York: Macmillan, 1906. Pp. 467. Price, \$4.00.

We take pleasure in announcing to our readers the appearance of this new and interesting book on Persia, by Prof. A. V. Williams Jackson, professor of Indo-Iranian languages in Columbia University. The book is written in a fresh and vigorous style and relates the impressions of the journey as well as gives an interesting and instructive account of cities and monuments which this prominent Zendavesta scholar visited, thus combining popularity with scholarship.

Professor Jackson describes the route to the Land of the Lion and the Sun through Tiflis, Erivan and Mount Ararat, and the road to the Persian frontier. He sketches the history of Persia and points out our interest in the country. He journeyed through snow from Aras to Tabriz, the residence of the Crown Prince of Persia. He devotes a whole chapter to Zoroaster and the Avesta, describes his trip on camel and horse back around Lake Urumiah, the supposed early home of Zoroaster. He reaches Takht-I Suleiman, an ancient fortified town in ruins, then describes Hamadan, the ancient Ecbatana.

After devoting two chapters to the Great Behistan Rock and the cuneiform records of King Darius, he describes Tak-I Bostan and Kermanshah, and the great ruined temple of the Persian Diana at Kangavar. From Hamadan he visited the ruined temple near Isfahan, the former capital of Persia.

Of special interest is the description of the tomb of Cyrus the Great. Thence he traveled to Persepolis and tells of its ancient monuments and Shiraz, the home of the Persian poets. At Yezd there are still Zoroastrians living whose religion and religious customs are older than the modern capital of Persia. Teheran is reached and the ruins of Rei, the ancient Ragha, are inspected. The journey through Persia ends with a trip through Mazandaran to the Caspian Sea.

The book is profusely illustrated with photographs, charts and reproductions of ancient monuments.

Spinoza and Religion. A Study of Spinoza's Metaphysics and of his particular utterances in regard to religion, with a view to determining the significance of his thoughts for religion and incidentally his personal attitude toward it. By Elmer Ellsworth Powell, Ph. D. Chicago: The Open Court Publishing Co., 1906. Price, \$1.50.

Professor Powell of Miami University after giving careful study to all the details of Spinoza's system of philosophy feels fully justified in agreeing with that German translator of the philosopher's works who claims that there is no other system in the whole history of human thought which is more difficult to understand and explain. This very special difficulty Professor Powell lays largely to the conditions of Spinoza's own environment and the age in which he lived when views that differed from the accepted orthodoxy were received with contempt and persecution. But though personal timidity and considerations of expediency were not wanting in the motives that actuated Spinoza "sometimes to conceal and sometimes to veil his real opinions, and occasionally even to express views diametrically opposed to his own," it is probably also true that he hoped to disseminate his doctrines more widely by expressing his thought in terms which were popularly associated with the prevalent religious conceptions.

After a biographical chapter, the raison d'être of the present book is made manifest in the second chapter of the "Introduction" which treats of "The Diversity of opinions in Regard to Spinoza's Relation to Religion." Here Mr.